

# Crystal structures of tris(trimethylsilyl)stibine and pentacarbonyl(tris(trimethylsilyl)stibine)chromium

H.J. Breunig\*, M. Jönsson, R. Rösler, E. Lork

*Institut für Anorganische und Physikalische Chemie der Universität Bremen, Fachbereich 2, Postfach 330 440, D-28334 Bremen, Germany*

Received 22 February 2000; received in revised form 10 May 2000

Dedicated to Professor Herbert Schumann on the occasion of his 65th birthday

## Abstract

The structures of  $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{Sb}$  (**1**) and  $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SbCr}(\text{CO})_5$  (**2**) have been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. © 2000 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Silicon; Antimony; Chromium; X-ray diffraction

## 1. Introduction

Tris(trimethylsilyl)stibine (**1**) is an important antimony reagent featuring the excellent leaving group properties of the  $\text{Me}_3\text{Si}$  group. The compound was synthesized for the first time by Amberger and Salazar in 1967 [1] and since then it has been used as a synthon for the formation of various antimony–element bonds [2–9] and as a ligand in main group [6–10] and transition metal complexes [11,12]. The structures of tris(silyl)stibines and other derivatives of the type  $(\text{R}_3\text{Si})_3\text{E}$  (E = pnictogen; R = alkyl, aryl, H, etc.) have received attention since the 1960s when controversial reports of planar or pyramidal central  $\text{Si}_3\text{E}$  cores of some of these compounds appeared [13]. Although the structural evidence is not complete, it is clear now that only the tris(silyl)amines have a planar center but all the heavier tris(silyl)pnictogens have a pyramidal central core.

This was proven for  $(\text{H}_3\text{Si})_3\text{Sb}$  by electron diffraction in the gas phase [14] already in 1968 and more recently for  $(\text{Me}_2\text{PhSi})_3\text{Sb}$  by single crystal X-ray analysis by Sladek and Schmidbaur [13].

Our interest in the structures of **1** and  $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SbCr}(\text{CO})_5$  (**2**) was prompted by the observation [12,15] that **2** and the analogous molybdenum and tungsten

compounds act as initiators for the polymerization of THF. Common mechanistic concepts for this reaction consider a nucleophilic attack of the THF molecule on a strong Lewis acid and hence we looked for Lewis acidic sites in **2** [12,15]. Crystal structures of transition metal complexes with **1** as a ligand have not been reported before. X-ray structure analyses of related molecules feature several main group element complexes with **1** as ligand [7–10] or pentacarbonyl chromium complexes with other stibine ligands like  $\text{Ph}_3\text{SbCr}(\text{CO})_5$  [16].

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Crystal structure of tris(trimethylsilyl)stibine (**1**)

Single crystals of **1** were grown from the melt at  $-28^\circ\text{C}$ . The structure was determined by single crystal X-ray diffractometry. The crystallographic data are given in Table 1. The crystals contain two independent and slightly different molecules (**1a**, **1b**) in the unit cell. The molecular structure of one of these molecules (**1a**) is shown in Fig. 1. The Sb–Si bond lengths vary between 255.99(11) and 256.54(11) pm (**1a**) or 256.09(11) and 256.36(10) pm (**1b**). The Si–Sb–Si angles (**1a**,  $98.05(4)$ – $99.37(4)^\circ$ ; **1b**  $(98.65(4)$ – $100.31(3)^\circ$ ) prove the pyramidal structure and reflect the less strained envi-

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-421-2182266; fax: +49-421-2184042.

E-mail address: breunig@chemie.uni-bremen.de (H.J. Breunig).

ronment around the pnicogen atom, compared to the phosphorus analog ((Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>3</sub>P, Si–P–Si angles 105.8(1)–106.5(1)° [17]). The C–Si–C angles range from 107.8(2)° to 109.8(2)° while the C–Si–Sb angles lie between 106.84(16)–115.59(15)°. Similar geometric parameters have been found for (Me<sub>3</sub>PhSi)<sub>3</sub>Sb (Si–Sb 255.43(8)–256.19(8) pm; Si–Sb–Si 99.81(3), 100.76(3)° [13]).

Table 1  
Crystallographic data and measurements for **1** and **2**

	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Empirical formula	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>27</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> Sb	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>27</sub> O <sub>5</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> CrSb
Formula weight	341.33	533.38
Crystal system	Triclinic	Trigonal
Space group	<i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	<i>P</i> 3(2)
Unit cell dimensions		
<i>a</i> (pm)	963.5(2)	948.90(10)
<i>b</i> (pm)	1233.10(10)	948.90(10)
<i>c</i> (pm)	1590.0(2)	2286.7(3)
$\alpha$ (°)	111.060(10)	90
$\beta$ (°)	96.280(10)	90
$\gamma$ (°)	90.430(10)	120
Unit cell volume (nm <sup>3</sup> )	1.7501(4)	1.7831(4)
<i>Z</i>	4	4
<i>F</i> (000)	696	804
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	1.0 × 0.7 × 0.5	0.7 × 0.4 × 0.4
Color	Colorless	Yellow
<i>D</i> <sub>calc</sub> (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.295	1.490
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.753	1.762
Absorption correction	Empirical [24]	None
$\theta$ Range (°)	2.61–27.50	2.63–27.51
Index range (°)	–12 ≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 1, –14 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 14, –20 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 20	–1 ≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 12, –12 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 11, –29 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 29
Reflections collected	8410	9079
Independent reflections	6905 ( <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.0387)	5026 ( <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.0646)
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on <i>F</i> <sup>2</sup> [21]	Full-matrix least-squares on <i>F</i> <sup>2</sup> [21]
Data/restraints/parameters	6905/0/255	5026/1/228
GOF	1.058	1.008
Final <i>R</i> values [ <i>I</i> > 2σ( <i>I</i> )]	<i>R</i> <sub>1</sub> = 0.0307, <i>wR</i> <sub>2</sub> = 0.0838	<i>R</i> <sub>1</sub> = 0.0332, <i>wR</i> <sub>2</sub> = 0.0807
<i>R</i> Values (all data)	<i>R</i> <sub>1</sub> = 0.0347, <i>wR</i> <sub>2</sub> = 0.0862	<i>R</i> <sub>1</sub> = 0.0374, <i>wR</i> <sub>2</sub> = 0.0829
Absolute structural parameter		–0.02(2)
Absorption coefficient	0.0059(3)	0.0026(4)
Largest difference peak and hole (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.800 and –0.749	0.622 and –0.608




Fig. 1. Structure of **1** (molecule A). Selected bond lengths (pm) and angles (°). Molecule A: Sb(1)–Si(1) 256.54(11), Sb(1)–Si(2) 256.63(11), Sb(1)–Si(3) 255.99(11), Si(1)–C 186.6(4)–187.3(4), Si(2)–C 186.3(5)–187.3(4), Si(3)–C 186.9(4)–187.0(4), Si(1)–Sb(1)–Si(2) 98.05(4), Si(3)–Sb(1)–Si(1) 99.29(4), Si(3)–Sb(1)–Si(2) 99.37(4), C–Si(1)–Sb(1) 106.84(16)–115.59(15), C–Si(1)–C 107.8(2)–109.0(2), C–Si(2)–Sb(1) 107.78(16)–114.94(14), C–Si(2)–C 108.0(3)–109.3(2), C–Si(3)–Sb(1) 107.60(14)–115.11(15), C–Si(3)–C 108.0(2)–109.8(2). Molecule B: Sb(2)–Si(4) 256.36(10), Sb(2)–Si(5) 256.62(11), Sb(2)–Si(6) 256.09(11), Si(4)–C 186.4(4)–187.0(4), Si(5)–C 186.4(4)–188.4(4), Si(6)–C 186.9(4)–187.4(4), Si(4)–Sb(2)–Si(5) 100.31(3), Si(6)–Sb(2)–Si(4) 98.97(4), Si(6)–Sb(2)–Si(5) 98.65(3), C–Si(4)–Sb(2) 106.47(14)–114.68(14), C–Si(4)–C 108.26(19)–109.7(2), C–Si(5)–Sb(2) 106.44(16)–114.80(14), C–Si(5)–C 108.0(2)–109.5(2), C–Si(6)–Sb(2) 106.77(16)–115.78(14), C–Si(6)–C 108.4(2)–109.2(2).

## 2.2. Crystal structure of tris(trimethylsilyl)stibine pentacarbonyl chromium (**2**)

Single crystals of **2** were obtained by cooling a solution of **2** in petroleum ether to –28°C. The crystal data and the data for the refinement of the structure are given in Table 1. The structure of the molecule that is shown in Fig. 2 has the expected geometry. It consists of the stibine ligand with a trigonal pyramidal Si<sub>3</sub>Sb skeleton bonded to the square pyramidal Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub> unit. The Sb–Cr bond in **2** (271.67(8) pm) is longer than in other known pentacarbonyl chromium complexes with antimony ligands: MeBr<sub>2</sub>SbCr(CO)<sub>5</sub>, 255.6(2) pm [18]; Ph<sub>3</sub>SbCr(CO)<sub>5</sub>, 261.70(3) pm [16]; Ph<sub>2</sub>SbSbPh<sub>2</sub>Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>, 262.6(1) pm [19]; Ph<sub>2</sub>SbSSbPh<sub>2</sub>Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>, 259.8(3) pm [20]. The Sb–Si bond lengths in **2** (256.64(14)–257.73(14) pm) are similar to the corresponding values in **1** (255.99(11)–256.63(11) pm). The differences between the bond angles around the silicon atoms in **1** and **2**, e.g. mean C–Si–C angles **1** (108.7°), **2** (110.6°) are not significant. The main stereochemical effect of the complexation on the ligand is the increase of the mean Si–Sb–Si angles from 99.2° in the free ligand to 103.6° in the complex **2**. This effect is not unusual. It is also observed when **1** is coordinated to a main group acceptor molecule (cf. (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>3</sub>Sb–AlEt<sub>3</sub>, mean Si–Sb–Si 103.6° [7]) and may result from a change of the character of the bonding orbitals of the antimony atom which have more p character in the free ligand and more sp<sup>3</sup> character in the complexes. It is tempting to correlate this structural change between **1** and **2** with

the unexpected reactivity of **2** as initiator of the THF polymerization. In fact an attack of a THF molecule in the expected position opposite to the Sb–Cr bond might be facilitated by the widening of the Si–Sb–Si angles. Nevertheless the antimony atom in **2** still appears to be very well protected by the bulky Me<sub>3</sub>Si groups and the concept of a strong electrophilic activity of the antimony center in **2** remains speculative. It is more likely that a specific trans-influence of the (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>3</sub>Sb-ligand is responsible for the unusual reactivity of the complex.

### 3. Experimental

Details of the crystal structure determination and refinement are given in Table 1. Data were collected at 173(2) K on a Siemens P4 four-circle diffractometer using graphite monochromated, Mo–K<sub>α</sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 71.073$  pm) and  $\omega/2\theta$  scan. Reference reflections were measured every 197 reflections. Calculations were carried out with the SHELXL-97 program package [21]. The drawings were created with the program DIAMOND [22] and the XP-program of the SHELXTL program system [23].




Fig. 2. Structure of **2**. Selected bond lengths (pm) and angles (°). Sb(1)–Cr(1) 271.67(8), Sb(1)–Si(1) 257.73(14), Sb(1)–Si(2) 257.13(14), Sb(1)–Si(3) 256.64(14), Cr(1)–C 188.6(6)–191.2(6), Cr(1)–C(4) 184.7(6), Si(1)–C 184.8(6)–187.0(6), Si(2)–C 186.2(6)–187.2(6), Si(3)–C 184.3(7)–186.4(6), C–O 113.6(7)–115.8(7), C(4)–O(4) 115.5(8), Si(1)–Sb(1)–Si(2) 103.42(5), Si(3)–Sb(1)–Si(1) 103.34(5), Si(3)–Sb(1)–Si(2) 103.89(5), Si(1)–Sb(1)–Cr(1) 113.59(4), Si(2)–Sb(1)–Cr(1), 116.38(4), Si(3)–Sb(1)–Cr(1) 114.67(4), C–Si(1)–Sb(1) 107.7(2)–111.8(2), C–Si(1)–C 108.4(3)–111.0(3), C–Si(2)–Sb(1) 107.7(2)–110.0(2), C–Si(2)–C 109.8(3)–110.9(3), C–Si(3)–Sb(1) 104.9(3)–111.2(2), C–Si(3)–C 108.2(4)–112.5(3), Sb(1)–Cr(1)–C 86.81(16)–93.07(17), Sb(1)–Cr(1)–C(4) 177.6(2), C–Cr(1)–C 86.6(2)–93.6(2), C–Cr(1)–C *trans*-C 176.0(2)–177.0(2), C(4)–Cr(1)–C *cis*-Sb 89.2(3)–91.0(2), O–C–Cr(1) 175.4(5)–177.6(5), O(4)–C(4)–Cr(1) 177.4(7).

### 4. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC-142408 for compound **1** and CCDC-142409 for compound **2**. Copies of this information can be obtained free of charge from: The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk)

### Acknowledgements

We thank the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.

### References

- [1] E. Amberger, R.W. Salazar, *J. Organometal. Chem.* 8 (1967) 111.
- [2] H. Bürger, U. Goetze, *J. Organometal. Chem.* 12 (1968) 451.
- [3] G. Becker, A. Münch, C. Witthauer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 492 (1982) 15.
- [4] G. Becker, M. Meiser, O. Mundt, J. Weidlein, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 569 (1989) 62.
- [5] H.J. Breunig, V. Breunig-Lyriti, *Z. Naturforsch. Teil. B* 34 (1978) 926.
- [6] S. Schulz, M. Nieger, *J. Organometal. Chem.* 570 (1998) 275.
- [7] S. Schulz, M. Nieger, *Organometallics* 18 (1999) 315.
- [8] R.A. Baldwin, E.E. Foos, R.L. Wells, P.S. White, A.L. Rheingold, G.P.A. Yap, *Organometallics* 15 (1996) 5035.
- [9] R.L. Wells, E.E. Foos, P.S. White, A.L. Rheingold, L.M. Liable-Sands, *Organometallics* 16 (1997) 4771.
- [10] M.S. Lube, R.L. Wells, P.S. White, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* (1997) 285.
- [11] H. Schumann, H.J. Breunig, *J. Organometal. Chem.* 76 (1974) 225.
- [12] H.J. Breunig, W. Fichtner, *J. Organometal. Chem.* 222 (1981) 97.
- [13] A. Sladek, H. Schmidbaur, *Chem. Ber.* 128 (1995) 565 and Refs. cited therein.
- [14] D.W. Rankin, A.G. Robiette, G.M. Sheldrick, B. Beagley, T.G. Hewitt, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 31 (1969) 2351.
- [15] H.J. Breunig, D. Wöhrle, *Makromol. Chem.* 179 (1978) 1653.
- [16] A.J. Carty, N.J. Taylor, A.W. Coleman, M.F. Lappert, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* (1979) 639.
- [17] J. Bruckmann, C. Krüger, *Acta. Crystallogr. Sect. C* 51 (1995) 1152.
- [18] H.J. Breunig, M. Denker, K.H. Ebert, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 470 (1994) 87.
- [19] J. v. Seyerl, G. Huttner, *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* 9 (1980) 1099.
- [20] M. Wieber, N. Graf, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 619 (1993) 1991.
- [21] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX-97, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [22] DIAMOND—Visual Crystal Structure Information System, CRYSTAL IMPACT, Postfach 1251, D-53002 Bonn, Germany.
- [23] Siemens, SHELXTL-PLUS: Release for Siemens R3 Crystallographic Research Systems, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments Inc., Madison, WI, 1989.
- [24] A.C.T. North, D.C. Phillips, F.S. Mathews, *Acta. Crystallogr. Sect. A* 24 (1968) 351.